wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDE	R OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Carlos Hernandez-Garcia		Case Number: _	08-3063M	
present and w	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § ras represented by counsel. I conclude by the defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing y a preponderance of the evi	g was held on March 20, 2008. Defendant was dence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the U	Inited States or lawfully adm	nitted for permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the char	ged offense, was in the Unit	ted States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant fa Enforcement, placing him/her beyond or otherwise removed.	released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs inforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported on the temporal of the court and the defendant has previously been deported to the removed.		
	The defendant has no significant cont	e defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to app	pear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law	v enforcement contact by fle	eeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	f ye	ears imprisonment.	
at the time of the state of the time of the defendant to the state of the United State	the hearing in this matter, except as note Co There is a serious risk that the defence No condition or combination of condition DIRECTION efendant is committed to the custody of acility separate, to the extent practicable, defendant shall be afforded a reasonable of States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purpose APPEALS ORDERED that should an appeal of this of the motion for review/reconsideration FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ciently in advance of the hearing before	ed in the record. DNCLUSIONS OF LAW lant will flee. ons will reasonably assure to the Attorney General or his/to from persons awaiting or se opportunity for private consumers. The And Third Party Reledetention order be filed with to Pretrial Services at least of a third party is to be consider.	ner designated representative for confinement in rving sentences or being held in custody pending iltation with defense counsel. On order of a cour charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ection with a court proceeding.	
Ü	e poténtial third party custodian. ED this 21 st day of March, 2008	3.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Unit	David K. Duncan red States Magistrate Jud	ge	